AMF Investigations: The duty to answer an investigator and his jurisdiction to rule on objections (continued)

December 1, 2012

With regard to our *In Fact and In Law Express* newsletter of July 2012, entitled ‘‘AMF Investigations: The duty to answer an investigator and his jurisdiction to rule on objections’’, please be informed that, on December 20, 2012, the Supreme Court dismissed Fournier’s application for leave to appeal a Court of Appeal decision, which convicted Fournier of an offence under section 195(4) SA because of his refusal to testify whereas lower courts acquitted him.

The Court of Appeal judgment is now final.

Copyright (c) Lavery, de Billy, S.E.N.C.R.L. - L.L.P.